Hnited States Senate WASHINGTON. DC 20510

March 13, 2020

Cynthia Wilson Chairperson Committee for Statistical Annotation of Tariff Schedules U.S. International Trade Commission 500 E. Street, SW Washington, DC 20436

RE: Section 484(f) Request for Changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States

Dear Ms. Wilson:

We are requesting the Committee for Statistical Annotation of the Tariff Schedules ("484(f) Committee") refine the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) to collect additional information on dried cherry imports by creating new statistical breakouts for imports of (1) dried tart cherries and (2) all other varieties of dried cherries. We propose that the statistical breakout complement the same information the United States collects at the 10-digit level in Schedule B, relating to exports of dried cherries. This additional information will help our domestic industry better understand the economic impacts of dried cherry imports.

Michigan grows more than 75 percent of the nation's tart cherries with a crop valued at over \$54 million. The economic impacts of this industry affects more than just growers. It also supports hundreds of processing and retail jobs and brings hundreds of thousands of visitors to northwest Michigan each year. Unfortunately, the domestic cherry industry has suffered in recent years due to unfair import competition and uncertainty in export markets.

As you may know, the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) recently completed an antidumping and countervailing duty (AD/CVD) investigation (Inv. No. 701-TA-622 and 731-TA-1448) into imports of dried tart cherries from Turkey. In their report, the USITC determined that there were too many "out of scope" items within the HTS code for dried cherries for that data to be considered as part of their investigation.

It is only fair that if we are collecting additional statistical information regarding the types of dried cherries exported from the United States, then we should provide the same level of data collection for imports. Additional statistical breakouts in the HTS, like what is required in Schedule B, would allow for better monitoring imports of dried cherries. We believe the current level of statistical data is not sufficient to understand the trade flows within the dried cherry varietals. This information will allow the domestic industry to analyze trade flows and volume trends of these imports more meaningfully.

Dried cherry imports are currently classified in HTS 0813.40.3000. The current HTS breakout is as follows:

0813: Fruit, dried other than that of headings 0801 to 0806; mixtures of nuts or dried fruits of this chapter

0813.40: Other fruit

0813.40.3000: Cherries

Meanwhile, Schedule B requires additional statistical granularity that we are requesting be collected for the HTS at the 10-digit level. The Schedule B breakout is as follows:

0813.40.3010: Cherries, Tart Varieties, Dried

0813.40.3090: Cherries, Dried, Except Tart Varieties

The supplemental information provided in this letter shows that the volume of trade meets the threshold of more than \$1 million annually. Further, because the recent AD/CVD case considered at the USITC involved questionnaire responses from at least eleven U.S. importers, we can determine there are more than three importers of dried tart cherries in the United States, meeting the necessary threshold. We are attaching information to assist you and the 484(f) Committee as you consider our request to create new statistical breakouts for dried cherry imports. We appreciate your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

TAN

Debbie Stabenow United States Senator

Gary CPeters United States Senator

ATTACHMENT 1

Download Date Step 1: Trade Flow and Classification System **Trade Flow Classification System** Step 2: Data and Years Data To Report Data Format Years **Timeframe Aggregation** Step 3: Countries Select Type **Country Aggregation** Step 4: Commodities Select Type **Commodity List Commodity Aggregation Level Commodity Aggregation Description Display** Step 5: Programs Select Type Import Program Aggregation Step 6: Rate Provision Codes Select Type **Provision Code Aggregation** Step 7: Districts Select Type **District Aggregation** Step 8: Report Layout Column Order Column Sort Order Percent Change Column Show All **Enable Subtotals**

....

March 12, 2020, 9:56 AM Imports For Consumption **HTS Items Customs Value** 1 2018 Annual **Use All Countries** Aggregate countries Select Individual Commodities 0813403000 10 **Aggregate Commodities** NO Use All Programs Aggregate CSC **Use All Provision Codes** Aggregate RPCODE Use All Districts **Aggregate District**

Checked Checked Unchecked Imports For Consumption | Annual Data

Data Row Count		1		
	Data Type	TOTAL	Year 2018	
Customs Value		TOTAL	2,732,520	
Total:			2,732,520	

.

Download Date Step 1: Trade Flow and Classification System Trade Flow **Classification System** Step 2: Data and Years Data To Report Data Format Years **Timeframe Aggregation** Step 3: Countries Select Type **Country Aggregation Step 4: Commodities** Select Type Commodity List **Commodity Aggregation Level Commodity Aggregation Description Display** Step 5: Programs Select Type Import Program Aggregation **Step 6: Rate Provision Codes** Select Type **Provision Code Aggregation** Step 7: Districts Select Type District Aggregation Step 8: Report Layout Column Order Column Sort Order Percent Change Column Show All **Enable Subtotals**

March 12, 2020, 9:54 AM Imports For Consumption **HTS** Items **Customs Value** 1 2019 Annual Use All Countries Aggregate countries Select Individual Commodities 0813403000 10 **Aggregate Commodities** ŇŌ **Use All Programs** Aggregate CSC **Use All Provision Codes** Aggregate RPCODE **Use All Districts** Aggregate District

Checked Checked Unchecked Imports For Consumption | Annual Data

Data Row Count		1		
	Data Type	TOTAL	Year 2019	
Customs Value Total:		TOTAL	2,061,411 2,061,411	

-